Mathematical Logic



Model theory of finite and pseudofinite groups

Dugald Macpherson¹

Received: 27 June 2016 / Accepted: 9 January 2017 / Published online: 19 September 2017 © The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

Abstract This is a survey, intended both for group theorists and model theorists, concerning the structure of *pseudofinite groups*, that is, infinite models of the first-order theory of finite groups. The focus is on concepts from stability theory and generalisations in the context of pseudofinite groups, and on the information this might provide for finite group theory.

Keywords Pseudofinite group · Pseudofinite field · Stable theory · NIP theory

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 03C60; Secondary 03C20 · 03C13 · 20A15

1 Introduction

This article is mainly a survey, based on notes for a lecture course at the 'Models and Groups 5' meeting in Istanbul October 8–10 2015, but closely related to material on pseudofinite structures which I discussed in the 'IPM conference on set theory and model theory', Tehran, October 12–16 2015. The focus below is mainly on pseudofinite groups which are simple in the group-theoretic sense, on the content for pseudofinite groups of model-theoretic tameness conditions generalising stability, and on the implications for finite group theory. The paper is intended for both logicians

Research partially supported by EPSRC Grant EP/K020692/1.



 [□] Dugald Macpherson
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │
 │

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

and group theorists, so contains considerably more model-theoretic background than is standard for an article in a logic journal.

Convention: We let $L_{\rm gp}:=(\cdot,\,^{-1},\,1)$ be the first-order language of groups. Unless otherwise mentioned, any first-order language L is assumed to be countable.

Definition 1.1 A pseudofinite group is an infinite group which satisfies every first-order sentence of L_{gp} that is true of all finite groups.

Not every infinite group is pseudofinite. For example, the sentence (for abelian groups, so written additively) expressing 'if the map $x \mapsto 2x$ is injective then it is surjective' is true in all finite groups but false in $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, and so the latter is not pseudofinite. Likewise (considering the map $x \mapsto px$), the group of p-adic integers $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$ is not pseudofinite. Since centralisers of non-identity elements in free groups are definable and isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, free groups are not pseudofinite. Answering a question asked in Istanbul by G. Levitt, we show in Theorem 6.4 that there is a group (namely the full symmetric group on a countably infinite set) which does not embed in any pseudofinite group, though we have since found that this follows immediately from a result in [27].

Remark 1.2 A group G is pseudofinite if and only if it is elementarily equivalent to a non-principal ultraproduct (see Sect. 2) of distinct finite groups.

In fact, the above definition, and this remark, make sense with 'group' replaced by 'field', 'ring', 'graph', *L*-structure, etc. In this paper, we also talk of pseudofinite rings and fields.

The structure of this article is as follows. Section 2 contains an overview of basic background, around ultraproducts, pseudofinite fields, and basic concepts from generalised stability theory. In Sect. 3, we discuss three major theorems of John Wilson about finite and pseudofinite groups: the description of simple pseudofinite groups; the finite axiomatisability, among finite groups, of soluble groups; and the uniform definability of the soluble radical of a finite group. In Sect. 4 we consider which pseudofinite groups have a first-order theory which is stable, or simple or NIP, or NTP2—this last appears to be new, though straightforward. In Sect. 4 we also discuss the notion of asymptotic class of finite structures, introduced by Elwes, myself and Steinhorn, and consider this in the context of groups. In Sect. 5 we discuss pseudofinite permutation groups, especially material from [48]. We take the opportunity here to correct some inaccuracies in [24,48]. Section 6 suggests some further directions.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Ultraproducts

Fix a countable language L. Let $\{M_i : i \in \omega\}$ be a family of L-structures, and let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω . (An *ultrafilter* on ω is a family of subsets of ω closed under finite intersections and supersets, containing ω and omitting \emptyset , and maximal subject to this; it is *principal* if it has the form $\{X \subseteq \omega : a \in X\}$ for some $a \in \omega$, and



is *non-principal* otherwise.) Define $M^* := \Pi M_i$, the Cartesian product of the M_i . We say that some property P holds *almost everywhere* or *for almost all* i if

$$\{i: P \text{ holds for } M_i\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

For $a=(a_i)_{i\in\omega}$ and $b=(b_i)_{i\in\omega}$, put $a\sim b$ if $\{i:a_i=b_i\}\in\mathcal{U}$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation. Put $M=M^*/\sim$. Define relations of L to hold of a tuple of M if they hold in the ith coordinate (that is, in M_i) for almost all i, and interpret functions and constants in M similarly. This is well-defined, and the resulting M is called the ultraproduct of the M_i with respect to \mathcal{U} , and here denoted $\Pi_{i\in\omega}M_i/\mathcal{U}$. The ultraproduct M will be ω_1 -saturated: any type over any countable subset of M will be realised in M. The key fact about ultraproducts is

Theorem 2.1 (Łos's Theorem) *In the above notation, for any sentence* σ , $M \models \sigma$ *if and only if* σ *holds of* M_i *for almost all* i.

For more on ultraproducts see [33, Section 9.5]. The following well-known observation makes a link to pseudofiniteness.

Proposition 2.2 A group (or just L-structure) is pseudofinite if and only if it is elementarily equivalent to an infinite ultraproduct of finite structures.

2.2 Pseudofinite fields

We summarise aspects of the beautiful structure theory of pseudofinite *fields*. This originated with Ax in 1968, and is essential for understanding pseudofinite groups which are simple as groups—see Theorem 3.1 below. For background on the model theory of finite and pseudofinite fields see [15].

Theorem 2.3 [1] A field F is pseudofinite if and only if all of the following hold:

- (i) F is perfect;
- (ii) *F is* quasifinite (that is, inside a fixed algebraic closure, *F has a unique extension of each finite degree*);
- (iii) *F is* pseudo-algebraically closed (*PAC*), that is, every absolutely irreducible variety which is defined over *F has an F-rational point*.

It is easily seen that (i) and (ii) hold of all finite fields, and are first-order expressible ((ii) needs some work). (iii) is expressible by a conjunction of first-order sentences (this is not completely obvious) each of which, by the Lang-Weil estimates, holds in sufficiently large finite fields, and so each must hold of any pseudofinite field. The striking fact is the converse, that any field satisfying all of these three conditions satisfies *every* sentence true of all finite fields.

Ax also identified the complete theories of pseudofinite fields. If F is a field, then Abs(F) denotes the intersection of F with the algebraic closure of its prime subfield.

Theorem 2.4 [1] If F_1 , F_2 are pseudofinite fields, then $F_1 \equiv F_2$ (that is, they are elementarily equivalent) if and only if F_1 , F_2 have the same characteristic and $Abs(F_1) \cong Abs(F_2)$.



This, with further information in [1], was used by Kiefe [42] to prove a uniform partial quantifier elimination in finite fields, and hence for the theory of pseudofinite fields: any formula $\phi(\bar{x})$ in the language L_{rings} of rings is equivalent, modulo the theory of finite fields, to a boolean combination of formulas of the form $\exists yg(\bar{x}, y) = 0$, where $g(\bar{X}, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[\bar{X}, Y]$. This can be converted into a model completeness result after the language is expanded by constants (see [14]). It is also known (see [35, Corollary 3.1]) that any complete theory of pseudofinite fields has elimination of imaginaries over constants naming an elementary submodel.

2.3 Basics of stability theory and generalisations

We will consider in this paper *stable* theories of pseudofinite groups, the orthogonal generalisations *simple* and *NIP* of stable, and their common generalisation *NTP*₂. Here, we briefly introduce these model-theoretic concepts. There are many sources on stability theory—see for example [63], or [75] for stable groups. For background on simple theories see [13,76], or [43], and for NIP theories see [69]. An excellent source of general background is [70].

Below, given a complete theory T, we let \bar{M} denote a 'sufficiently saturated' model of T, with all parameter sets taken inside \bar{M} . We may take 'sufficiently saturated' as meaning ' 2^{\aleph_0} -saturated', that is, that any type over any subset of \bar{M} of size less than 2^{\aleph_0} is realised in \bar{M} . We use symbols A, B, C to denote subsets of \bar{M} which are 'small', that is, of smaller cardinality than the degree of saturation. We sometimes consider the extension \bar{M}^{eq} of \bar{M} by adding all quotients of \emptyset -definable equivalence relations on all powers of \bar{M} , and its corresponding theory T^{eq} in the language L^{eq} ; for details see [33, Section 4.3] or [70, Section 8.4].

Definition 2.5 Let T be a complete theory. A formula $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is *unstable* (for T) if there are $\bar{a}_i \in \bar{M}^{|x|}$ and $\bar{b}_i \in \bar{M}^{|y|}$ (for all $i \in \omega$) such that for any $i, j \in \omega$, $\bar{M} \models \phi(\bar{a}_i, \bar{b}_i)$ if and only if i < j.

The theory T is *stable* if no formula is unstable for T.

Several other conditions are equivalent to stability. For example, for $A \subset \overline{M}$ let $S_n(A)$ be the set of all n-types over A. Then T is λ -stable (for λ an infinite cardinal) if for all $A \subset \overline{M}$ with $|A| \leq \lambda$ we have $|S_1(A)| \leq \lambda$, and T is stable if and only if it is λ -stable for some infinite λ . Following a standard abuse of notation we shall write ω -stable rather than \aleph_0 -stable.

A theory T is stable if and only if there is an 'independence relation' $A \, \bigcup_C B$ (read 'A is independent from B over C'), where A, B, C are small subsets of \bar{M} satisfying a number of natural axioms (suggested by linear independence in vector spaces, or algebraic independence in fields) such as $symmetry: A \, \bigcup_C B \Leftrightarrow B \, \bigcup_C A$.



One of these axioms of independence is

local character: for any \bar{a} and B there is countable $B_0 \subset B$ such that $\bar{a} \bigcup_{B_0} B$ (here we assume the underlying language is countable). Another is

extension property + stationarity: if $A \subset B \subset \overline{M}$ and A is algebraically closed in the sense of T^{eq} , and $\overline{a} \in \overline{M}$, then there is $\overline{a}' \in \overline{M}$ such that $\operatorname{tp}(\overline{a}/A) = \operatorname{tp}(\overline{a}'/A)$ and $\overline{a}' \bigcup_A B$, and (stationarity) $\operatorname{tp}(\overline{a}'/B)$ is uniquely determined by this data. In a stable theory, the independence is given by *non-forking* (not defined here).

Definition 2.6 A formula $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ has the *tree property* (with respect to T) if for some $k \in \omega$ the following hold: there are $\bar{a}_{\eta} \in \bar{M}^{|\bar{y}|}$ for all $\eta \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ such that for any $\eta \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ the set $\{\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{a}_{\eta i}) : i \in \omega\}$ is k-inconsistent (that is, any intersection of size k is inconsistent), and for any $\sigma \in {}^{\omega}\omega$, the set $\{\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{a}_{\eta}) : \eta \text{ restricts } \sigma\}$ is consistent. The theory T is simple if no formula has the tree property.

There is a characterisation of simplicity like the above one for stability, via an independence relation \bigcup , with the 'stationarity' axiom weakened to the 'independence theorem', also called 'type amalgamation'. Simplicity is a proper generalisation of stability. Within the class of simple theories is that of *supersimple* theories, characterised among simple theories by a strengthening of the local character condition on \bigcup : a simple theory T is *supersimple* if and only if, given any \bar{a} and B, there is *finite* (as distinct from just countable) $B_0 \subseteq B$ such that $\bar{a} \bigcup_{B_0} B$. For supersimple theories, there is a notion of ordinal-valued rank on definable sets (or types), known as SU-rank, which we do not here define. For groups it is often easy to work with. Finite groups have SU-rank 0, and if G has supersimple theory of finite SU-rank and $H \le G$ is definable, then SU(G) = SU(H) + SU(G/H), where G/H denotes the interpretable set of left cosets of H in G.

Definition 2.7 A formula $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ has the *independence property* (for T) if there are $\bar{a}_i \in \bar{M}^{|\bar{x}|}$ for each $i \in \omega$ such that for any $S \subset \omega$ there is $\bar{b}_S \in \bar{M}^{|\bar{y}|}$ with, for each $i \in \omega$, $\bar{M} \models \phi(\bar{a}_i, \bar{b}_S)$ if and only if $i \in S$.

A complete theory *T* has the *independence property* if some formula has the independence property for *T*. We say *T* is *NIP* if it does not have the independence property. NIP theories are also called *dependent* theories.

Example 2.8 Examples of ω -stable theories include algebraically closed fields, and (hence), for an algebraically closed field K, the K-rational points of an algebraic group defined over K. Separably closed fields which are not algebraically closed are stable but not ω -stable. Abelian groups (and more generally, modules, in the usual language of modules over a fixed ring) are stable, as are free groups.

Any o-minimal structure is NIP but not stable, as is \mathbb{Q}_p , any non-trivially valued algebraically closed field (in a language defining the valuation), and many other henselian valued fields.

Pseudofinite fields have the independence property so are not stable—see [22]. For example, if F is a pseudofinite field of odd characteristic, and $\phi(x, y)$ is the formula $\exists z(z^2 = x - y)$, then ϕ has the independence property. However, pseudofinite fields have simple theory. In fact, they are supersimple of SU-rank 1. The well-known theory



ACFA (the model companion of the theory of fields equipped with an automorphism) has all its completions supersimple, of SU-rank ω ; such a field is algebraically closed, and the fixed field of the automorphism is pseudofinite. Groups such as $PSL_n(F)$ (where F is a pseudofinite field and n > 1) will have supersimple finite rank theory, but are unstable because they interpret the underlying field F.

Suppose that G is a group definable in an NIP theory T, and let $\phi(x, \bar{y})$ be any formula. By the Baldwin-Saxl Theorem ([2], see also [75]), there is $n_{\phi} \in \omega$ such that any finite intersection of ϕ -definable subgroups of G (i.e. a subgroup of form $\bigcap_{i=1}^t \phi(G, \bar{a}_i)$, where the $\phi(G, \bar{a}_i)$ are subgroups of G) is an intersection of at most n_{ϕ} of them. If in addition T is *stable*, then (essentially because T cannot have the 'strict order property'), this ensures that G has the descending chain condition on intersections of ϕ -definable subgroups of G—there is a fixed bound on the lengths of such chains. In particular, we may apply this to the formula $\phi(x,y)$ expressing xy = yx. If T is NIP then there is n_{ϕ} such that for any *finite* $F \subset G$ there is $F_0 \subset F$ with $|F_0| \leq n_{\phi}$ such that $C_G(F) = C_G(F_0)$, and if in addition T is stable then any chain of centralisers has bounded length.

Finally, a complete theory T is TP_2 (has the *tree property of the 2nd kind*) if there are $\{\bar{b}_{ij}: i, j < \omega\}$ in $\bar{M} \models T$ and $k < \omega$ such that

- (i) the set $\{\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}_{ij}): j < \omega\}$ is k-inconsistent for each $i < \omega$, and
- (ii) for all $\xi \in \omega^{\omega}$, the set $\{\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}_{i,\xi(i)}) : i \in \omega\}$ is consistent. The theory T is NTP_2 if it is not TP_2 .

It is known (see [19]) that in the above definition, we may take $|\bar{x}| = 1$. Any simple or NIP theory is NTP₂. Examples of structures whose theory is NTP₂ but not simple or NIP include: non-principal ultraproducts (over p) of fields \mathbb{Q}_p , and the universal homogeneous ordered graph. For groups, we have the following useful result of Chernikov, Kaplan, and Simon.

Proposition 2.9 [20] Let T be NTP_2 , let G be a definable group in $M \models T$, and let $(H_i)_{i \in \omega}$ be uniformly definable normal subgroups of G. Let $H := \bigcap_{i \in \omega} H_i$, and put $H_{\neq j} := \bigcap_{i \in \omega \setminus \{j\}} H_i$. Then there is some $i^* \in \omega$ such that $|H_{\neq i^*} : H|$ is finite.

3 Three theorems of Wilson

We consider first *simple* groups which are pseudofinite. We warn the reader that in this paper we consider both simple groups (groups with no proper non-trivial normal subgroups) and simple theories (complete theories for which no formula has the tree property), and that the word 'simple' may have both meanings in the same sentence. For background on groups of Lie type, including twisted groups, see for example Carter [12]. The groups of Lie type are determined by a Dynkin diagram, and a field, and (for the twisted groups) a symmetry of the Dynkin diagram.

Theorem 3.1 (Wilson [78]) A pseudofinite group G is simple if and only if G is a simple group of Lie type (possibly twisted) over a pseudofinite field.



Remark 3.2 1. In [78] the statement is just that G is elementarily equivalent to such a group of Lie type; the assertion as given uses also work of Ryten [67] discussed in Section 4.1.

2. Ugurlu [73] has shown that one can replace 'simple' by 'definably simple of finite centraliser dimension'. Here, a group is *definably simple* if it has no proper non-trivial *definable* normal subgroups. We say that G has *centraliser dimension* k if k is the largest natural number such that there is a sequence

$$G = C_G(x_0) > C_G(x_0, x_1) > \cdots > C_G(x_0, \ldots, x_k) = Z(G),$$

and G has finite centraliser dimension if G has centraliser dimension k for some natural number k.

The proof of the direction \Leftarrow of Theorem 3.1 follows from the fact that finite simple groups of fixed Lie type τ are *boundedly simple*: there is $d = d(\tau) \in \omega$ such that if G is such a group and $g, h \in G$ with $h \neq 1$, then g is a product of at most d conjugates of h and h^{-1} . It uses the following result of Point [66].

Theorem 3.3 (Point) Let $\{G(q_i): i \in I\}$ be a family of finite simple groups of the same Lie type (possibly twisted), and let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω . Then

$$\Pi_{i\in\omega}G(q_i)/\mathcal{U}\cong G(\Pi_{i\in\omega}\mathbb{F}_{q_i}/\mathcal{U}).$$

For \Rightarrow , Wilson first reduces to the case $G \equiv \prod_{i \in \omega} S_i / \mathcal{U}$ (a non-principal ultraproduct of finite simple groups S_i). This uses a very nice observation of Felgner, that there is an $L_{\rm gp}$ -sentence σ which holds of every non-abelian simple group, and with the property that any finite group G satisfying σ has non-abelian simple socle (the group generated by the minimal normal subgroups of G). The sentence σ has form

$$\forall x \forall y \big[(x \neq 1 \land C_G(x, y) \neq 1) \rightarrow \bigcap_{g \in G} (C_G(x, y) C_G(C_G(x, y)))^g = 1 \big].$$

Wilson then analyses the possibilities for the S_i . It is easily seen that $H = \prod_{n\geq 5} \operatorname{Alt}(n)/\mathcal{U}$ is not simple, since finite alternating groups contain 3-cycles, and elements of increasingly large support, when written as products of 3-cycles, require increasingly many 3-cycles. The problem is that, naively, H might have an elementary substructure which is a simple group. To eliminate such possibilities, it suffices to show that, uniformly in n, $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ has an \emptyset -definable conjugacy-invariant family of elements of small support, and also such a family of increasingly large support, and elements of the latter cannot be written as a uniformly bounded product of elements of the former. Similar arguments work for groups elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of finite simple groups of increasingly large Lie rank—that is, ultraproducts of groups G_i of Lie type such that for each n, for almost all i the group G_i has Lie rank at least n.

We add a word about the *twisted* groups of Lie type. The groups of Lie type each correspond to a Dynkin diagram. For twisted groups, such as ${}^2E_6(q)$, ${}^2F_4(q)$, etc., the Dynkin diagram has a symmetry which yields a 'graph automorphism' of the corresponding untwisted group, essentially an automorphism which arises by permuting the



root groups. One takes a product σ of a graph automorphism and an appropriate 'field automorphism' (arising from a power of the Frobenius). Then, roughly speaking, the corresponding twisted group consists of the fixed points of σ acting on the untwisted group (this description is not accurate—see [12, Chapter 13] for details.)

Next, we consider soluble groups.

Theorem 3.4 (Wilson [79]) There is an L_{gp} -sentence σ such that if G is a finite group, then $G \models \sigma$ if and only if G is soluble.

The sentence σ asserts that there is no non-identity element g which is a product of 56 commutators [x, y] where each of x, y is a conjugate of g.

Regarding the proof of Theorem 3.4 for the given σ , for the direction \Leftarrow , it is clear that *any* soluble group satisfies this sentence σ —in fact, it satisfies the corresponding sentence with 56 replaced by any natural number. For suppose that G is a group satisfying the negation of the above sentence σ , witnessed by $g \in G$. Let $N = \langle g \rangle^G$, the smallest normal subgroup of G containing g. Then g lies in the derived subgroup N' of N, and hence N' = N, so N is perfect and so not soluble, and hence G is not soluble. For the direction \Rightarrow , Wilson uses Thompson's classification in [71] of the *minimal* finite simple groups, that is, the minimal finite groups which are not soluble.

Note that it is *not* true that a pseudofinite group is soluble if and only if it satisfies σ . For if G is an ultraproduct of a family of finite groups of increasingly large derived length then these groups satisfy σ so by Łos's theorem $G \models \sigma$, but clearly G is not soluble.

Recall that the (soluble) *radical* R(G) of a group G is the subgroup generated by the soluble normal subgroups of G. Always $R(G) \triangleleft G$, and if G is finite then R(G) is soluble.

Theorem 3.5 (Wilson [80]) There is an L_{gp} -formula $\psi(x)$ such that if G is a finite group then $\psi(G) = R(G)$.

The following questions appear to be open.

Question 3.6 1. Is there an $L_{\rm gp}$ -sentence τ such that a finite group is nilpotent if and only if it satisfies τ ?

- 2. Is there an L_{gp} formula $\chi(x)$ which uniformly in finite groups defines the Fitting subgroup Fitt(G) of a finite group G (the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of G)?
- 3. Is there an L_{gp} formula $\rho(x)$ which uniformly in finite groups defines the Frattini subgroup of a finite group G (the intersection of the maximal proper subgroups of G)?

4 Stability and generalisations, simple pseudofinite groups

4.1 Simple pseudofinite groups

Recall that a *difference field* is a field equipped with an automorphism, that is a structure of the form (F, σ) where F is a field and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(F)$. We view it as a structure in the language of rings augmented by a unary function symbol interpreted by σ . The theory ACFA mentioned earlier is an important model complete theory of difference fields.



It follows fairly rapidly from the constructions of the groups of Lie type, as described for example in [12], that the finite groups of Lie type are uniformly definable in the corresponding finite fields, or, in the cases of Suzuki and Ree groups, in the corresponding difference fields. For the Suzuki and Ree groups this is noted in [36]. In fact, we have the following. We say here that a class \mathcal{C} of finite structures is uniformly definable (interpretable) in a class \mathcal{D} if there are cofinite $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and a bijection $f: \mathcal{D}' \to \mathcal{C}'$ such that for each $M \in \mathcal{D}'$, f(M) is definable (respectively, interpretable) uniformly in M, i.e. always using the same formulas, but possibly allowing parameters. There is a corresponding notion of *uniform parameter bi-interpretability*—for details see [67].

Theorem 4.1 (Ryten [67, Chapter 5]) (i) Any family of finite simple groups of any fixed Lie type (other than Suzuki and Ree groups) is uniformly bi-interpretable (over parameters) with the corresponding family of finite fields.

(ii) The Ree groups ${}^2F_4(2^{2k+1})$ and the Suzuki groups ${}^2B_2(2^{2k+1})$ are uniformly biinterpretable over parameters with the difference fields $(\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k+1}}, x \mapsto x^{2^k})$, and the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(3^{2k+1})$ are uniformly bi-interpretable over parameters with $(\mathbb{F}_{3^{2k+1}}, x \mapsto x^{3^k})$.

Care is needed with the twisted groups. For example the unitary group $PSU_n(q)$, which lives naturally as a subgroup of $PSL_n(q^2)$, is bi-interpretable (uniformly in q) with the field \mathbb{F}_q . It is a consequence of the main theorem of [14] that \mathbb{F}_q is *not* uniformly definable (even with parameters) in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . It follows that the groups $PSU_n(q)$ are *not* uniformly interpretable with parameters in the fields \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

Extending remarks in Example 2.8, we have

Theorem 4.2 (1) (Easy consequence of [14].) Any pseudofinite field has supersimple rank 1 theory.

(2) (From [36], resting on earlier work of Chatzidakis, Hrushovski and Peterzil (see [16] and [17]). Let p be a prime, and let m, $n \in \omega$ with $m \ge 1$, n > 1, and (m, n) = 1. Let $C_{m,n,p}$ be the class of finite difference fields of form $(\mathbb{F}_{p^{kn+m}}, \operatorname{Frob}^k)$ where $k \in \omega$. Then any non-principal ultraproduct of $C_{m,n,p}$ has supersimple rank l theory.

In view of Theorem 4.1 (ii), this has particular interest for us in the cases (m, n, p) = (1, 2, 2) and (m, n, p) = (1, 2, 3). It yields the following.

Corollary 4.3 (Hrushovski) *Any simple pseudofinite group G has supersimple finite rank theory.*

Proof By Theorem 3.1, G is a Chevalley group (possibly twisted) over a pseudofinite field. It follows from Theorem 4.1 that such a group is elementarily equivalent to one interpretable in a pseudofinite field or an ultraproduct of a class $C_{1,2,2}$ or $C_{1,2,3}$. By Theorem 4.2 such ultraproducts are supersimple of SU rank 1, and the result follows.

Remark 4.4 Theorem 4.1 was recently used by Nies and Tent [61] to show that

- (1) finite simple groups are log-compressible, i.e., if G is a finite simple group, there is a first-order sentence ϕ in the language $L_{\rm gp}$, with unique model G, such that ϕ has length $O(\log |G|)$, and more generally
 - (2) for any finite group G there is such a sentence ϕ of length $O((\log |G|)^3)$.



Remark 4.5 1. The theory of any non-principal ultraproduct $\Pi_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\operatorname{Alt}_n/\mathcal{U}$ is undecidable. The same holds for any non-principal ultraproduct $\Pi_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\operatorname{PSL}_n(q)/\mathcal{U}$. It seems hard to find a good reference, but see e.g. Section 6.3 of [11], or (originally) Ershov [26].

2. For any fixed n, the theory of all groups G such that for some prime power q, $PSL_n(q) \leq G \leq P\Gamma L_n(q)$ is undecidable, and model-theoretically very wild. Here $P\Gamma L_n(q)$ is the extension of $PGL_n(q)$ obtained by adding 'field automorphisms' of $PGL_n(q)$. The reason, combined with interpretability of the underlying fields in the groups, is that the fixed field of a field automorphism is an arbitrary subfield of \mathbb{F}_q and the theory of pairs of finite fields is undecidable. This is essentially because, given a pair of finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q_1} < \mathbb{F}_{q_2}$ where q_2 is sufficiently much larger than q_1 , we can uniformly define all subsets S of the smaller field \mathbb{F}_{q_1} : there is $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q_2}$ such that for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q_1}$, x - a is a square of \mathbb{F}_{q_2} if and only if $a \in S$.

4.2 Generalised stability for pseudofinite groups

We aim here to give structural results for pseudofinite groups with stable, or more generally simple, or NIP, or NTP₂, theory.

Theorem 4.6 [55] (1) Let C be a class of finite groups such that all ultraproducts of members of C are NIP. Then there is $d \in \omega$ such that |G| : R(G)| < d for each $G \in C$.

- (2) If G is a pseudofinite NIP group with a fixed finite bound on the lengths of centraliser chains then G has an Ø-definable soluble normal subgroup of finite index.
- (3) Any pseudofinite group with stable theory has an \emptyset -definable soluble normal subgroup of finite index.

Remark 4.7 1. In (2), the conclusion is false without some assumption like that on centralisers. Indeed, we give an example (cf. Theorem 4.6(2)) of an NIP pseudofinite group which is not soluble-by-finite. First, it is well-known that the p-adic field \mathbb{Q}_p , viewed as a structure in the usual language of rings, has NIP theory (see e.g. [5]), and that the full valuation structure (the value group (\mathbb{Z} , <, +) and the value map $v: \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$) is interpretable—for the latter see e.g. the end of Section 2.3 of [74]. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and for each k > 0 let G_k be the open normal subgroup of G of form

$$G_k := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1+a & b \\ c & 1+d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in p^k \mathbb{Z}_p \right\},\,$$

a congruence subgroup of G. It is easy to see that G is definable in \mathbb{Q}_p , and furthermore the groups G_k are uniformly definable as k varies; here we use that k ranges through the positive part of the value group, and that the condition $a, b, c, d \in p^k \mathbb{Z}_p$ corresponds to the condition $\min\{v(a), v(b), v(c), v(d)\} \geq k$, so we may use k, or a field element of value k, as a parameter. Thus the quotients G/G_k are uniformly interpretable finite groups. Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω , and put

$$G^* := \prod_{k \in \omega} (G/G_k)/\mathcal{U}.$$



Then G^* is an NIP pseudofinite group. By compactness and ω_1 -saturation of ultraproducts, it has a normal subgroup N such that $G^*/N \cong G$. In particular, G^* is not soluble-by-finite.

2. Part (3) provides another route to the observation in the introduction that free groups are not pseudofinite. For by Sela's work they are known to be stable, and in the free non-abelian case they are clearly not soluble-by-finite.

The proof of Theorem 4.6 makes essential use of Theorem 3.5.

Sketch Proof of Theorem 4.6 (1) Let $G \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\psi(x)$ be as in Theorem 3.5. For $G \in \mathcal{C}$ let $\bar{G} = G/R(G)$, and put $S := \operatorname{Soc}(\bar{G})$ (the direct product of the minimal normal subgroups). Then $S = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$, where the T_i are non-abelian finite simple groups. Observe that R(G) is uniformly definable by Theorem 3.5, so \bar{G} is uniformly interpretable in G.

Claim 1. There is a bound on k as G ranges through C. Indeed, for each i pick $x_i \in T_i \setminus Z(T_i)$ and $y_i \in T_i$ with $[x_i, y_i] \neq 1$. For $w \in \{1, ..., k\}$ put $z_w = \prod_{j \notin w} y_j$. Then $[x_j, z_w] = 1 \Leftrightarrow j \in w$. Hence, the NIP assumption forces a bound on k.

Claim 2. There is a bound on the Lie rank of any T_i (or on t if $T_i = Alt_t$). This is proved essentially as in Claim 1, as otherwise some T_i contains increasingly large direct powers of PSL₂ or of Alt₄, and the formula of Claim 1 again has the independence property—note that it is quantifier-free.

Claim 3. The T_i have bounded size. If this was false, then groups $G \in \mathcal{C}$ would contain arbitrarily large finite simple groups of fixed Lie rank (by Claims 1 and 2 and the classification of finite simple groups) so some ultraproduct of the T_i would be a simple pseudofinite group, and (e.g. by Theorem 4.1) would interpret a pseudofinite field. But as noted in Example 2.8, pseudofinite fields do not have NIP theory. However, this contradicts the assumption in (1), as the groups T_i are themselves uniformly definable—the latter is proved under a weaker assumption in the proof of Theorem 4.15 below; it also follows easily from the fact that ultraproducts of finite simple groups of fixed Lie type are simple, so there is e such that given non-identity e0, e1, e2, e3 below; and e3 product of at most e4 conjugates of e5 and e6.

By Claim 3, |S| is bounded, and it follows easily that |G:R(G)| is bounded.

- (2) We may suppose that $G = \prod G_i/\mathcal{U}$ (an ultraproduct of finite groups), where each non-principal ultraproduct of the G_i is elementarily equivalent to G. Thus by (1) there is a finite bound on $|G_i:R(G_i)|$. By the assumption in (2), there is some $e \in \omega$ such that every centraliser chain in G has length at most e, and hence the same holds for any G_i . By a result of Kukhro [41], there is a function f such that each group $R(G_i)$ has derived length at most f(e). Since being soluble of bounded derived length is first-order expressible, it follows that the group $\{x \in G : G \models \psi(x)\}$ is a soluble normal subgroup of G of finite index.
- (3) This follows immediately from (2), as any stable group is NIP, and, by the remarks after Example 2.8, has a fixed finite bound on descending chains of centralisers. For a different proof see [54].

Example 4.8 [54, Section 5]



(1) There is an ω -stable pseudofinite group G which is not nilpotent-by-finite. It has form $(\mathbb{C}, +) \rtimes \Gamma$ for some infinite but 'small' $\Gamma \leq (\mathbb{C}^*, \cdot)$. This is a conglomeration of work of Chapuis, Simonetta, Khelif, and Zilber. The group has infinite Morley rank—for Khelif has shown that any pseudofinite group of finite Morley rank is abelian-by-finite.

(2) The 'Mekler construction' gives, for any odd prime p, examples of pseudofinite ω-stable groups which are nilpotent of class 2 and exponent p but not abelian-by-finite; see [54, Example 5.1]. (The argument at the end of [54, Example 5.1], referring to 'support', is garbled, but is easily corrected, as pointed out by Nadja Hempel.) For the Mekler construction, see [33] or [57]. The idea is to code graphs into nilpotent class 2 groups. Fix an odd prime p and given a graph Γ with vertex set V, let G(Γ) be the group which is free nilpotent (on the generating set V) subject to being of nilpotency class 2 and exponent p, and subject to the relations [u, v] = 1 whenever vertices u, v are adjacent in Γ. Under reasonable conditions on Γ (that it is a 'nice graph'), properties such as stable and simple are transferred from Γ to G(Γ) even though G(Γ) is not interpretable in Γ (for simplicity, see [4, Theorem 5.1]). Chernikov (personal communication) has shown that if Γ is NIP then G(Γ) is NIP, and it would be interesting to investigate which other model-theoretic conditions are preserved by the construction.

Next, we discuss pseudofinite groups with *simple* theory. Here, note that the examples (even supersimple of finite rank) include simple groups of Lie type over pseudofinite fields (by Corollary 4.3) and also, for odd primes p, infinite extraspecial p-groups of exponent p, that is, groups G of exponent p such that $G' = Z(G) = \Phi(G) \cong C_p$, where $\Phi(G)$ is the Frattini subgroup of G. Extraspecial p-groups have SU rank 1, and are finite-by-abelian but not abelian-by-finite. Extraspecial p-groups are pseudofinite—in fact they are *smoothly approximable* in the sense of [18], so satisfy any sentence true of cofinitely many finite extraspecial p-groups of exponent p. They have infinite descending chains of centralisers, and do not have a smallest finite index definable subgroup. For more detail see the Appendix of Milliet [59], or [53, Proposition 3.11].

The following result shows that ultraproducts of finite extraspecial groups do not have simple theory unless at least one of the prime and the rank (of the elementary abelian group G/Z(G)) is bounded.

Proposition 4.9 For each $p, n \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}$ with p prime, let $G_{p,n}$ be the extraspecial p-group of order p^{2n+1} , and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on the set of pairs (p,n) such that for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that for all $(p,n) \in \mathcal{U}$ we have p > d and n > d. Let $G := \prod_{p,n} G_{p,n}/\mathcal{U}$. Then $\mathrm{Th}(G)$ is not NTP_2 , so in particular is not simple.

Sketch Proof. Let Z := Z(G) and V = G/Z(G), an infinite abelian group. The commutator map defines a non-degenerate bilinear map $\beta : V \times V \to Z$ given by $\beta(uZ, vZ) = u^{-1}v^{-1}uv$. Since this is definable in G, and since Z is the additive reduct of a pseudofinite field K, it follows from Granger [30, Proposition 7.2.2] that K is interpretable in G, as is the infinite-dimensional vector space structure of V over K, and we may view β as a definable symplectic bilinear form on V.

It is easily seen that such a structure has TP₂ theory. Indeed, let $\{e_i : i \in \omega\}$ be an infinite linearly independent subset of V with $\beta(e_i, e_j) = 0$ for all $i, j \in \omega$, and



let $\{a_i : i \in \omega\} \subset K$. Then the formula $\beta(v, x) = y$ is TP₂. Indeed, for any i, the formulas $\{\beta(v, e_i) = a_j : j \in \omega\}$ are 2-inconsistent, but for any $f : \omega \to \omega$ the set of formulas $\{\beta(v, e_i) = a_{f(i)}\}$ is consistent. (It was already noted in [30, Proposition 7.4.1] that such structures are not simple, and TP₂ was also known.)

Consider a class C of finite groups with all ultraproducts of C having simple theory. For $G \in C$, by Theorem 3.5 R(G) is uniformly \emptyset -definable, and Soc(G/R(G)) is a product of boundedly many non-abelian finite simple groups of bounded Lie rank, by variants of the proofs of Claims 1 and 2 above; see also Theorem 4.15 below.

Question 4.10 In this setting (a class C of finite groups such that all ultraproducts have simple theory), must R(G) have bounded derived length, as G ranges through C?

If we here strengthen simplicity to supersimplicity, then the answer is positive.

Proposition 4.11 Let C be a class of finite groups such that all ultraproducts of C have supersimple theory. Then there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that R(G) has derived length at most d for all $G \in C$.

The proof uses the following results of Milliet, which significantly strengthen earlier results in [24].

Theorem 4.12 [58] If G is a pseudofinite group with supersimple theory, then R(G) is definable and soluble (and likewise, if we assume G has finite SU-rank, then the Fitting subgroup Fitt(G) is definable and nilpotent).

Proof of Proposition 4.11 By Theorem 3.5 we may replace the groups in \mathcal{C} by their soluble radicals, so may suppose that they are all soluble. Suppose for a contradiction that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $G_i \in \mathcal{C}$ with derived length at least i. Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafiliter on \mathbb{N} , and put $G^* := \Pi G_i/\mathcal{U}$. Then G^* has supersimple theory, so to obtain a contradiction it suffices by Theorem 4.12 to find soluble normal subgroups of G^* of arbitrarily large derived length. This, however, is straightforward. For each i, suppose G_i has derived length n_i , so $G_i^{(n_i)} = 1$. For each $r < n_i$, let $G_i^{(n_i-r)}$ denote the $(n_i - r)$ th term of the derived series of G (so a group of derived length r), and let $G_i^{(n_i-r)} := 1$ if $r > n_i$. Then $\Pi_i G_i^{(n_i-r)}/\mathcal{U}$ is a soluble normal subgroup of G^* of derived length r, giving the required contradiction.

Thus, if G is pseudofinite with supersimple theory then G has soluble radical R(G), and if S = Soc(G/R(G)), then $S = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$ where the T_i are non-abelian finite or pseudofinite simple groups. If \bar{S} denotes the preimage of S in G then G/\bar{S} embeds in $\text{Aut}(T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k)$.

The next result gives some information on pseudofinite groups with supersimple theory of small SU-rank. Note the currently essential use of the classification of finite simple groups (CFSG) in (3)—it would be interesting to remove this.

Theorem 4.13 Let G be a pseudofinite group with supersimple theory, and assume that T^{eq} eliminates the quantifier \exists^{∞} , where T = Th(G).

(1) [25] If SU(G) = 1 then G has a finite index definable characteristic subgroup N such that N' is a finite subgroup of Z(N) (so G is (finite-by-abelian)-by-finite).



- (2) [24] If SU(G) = 2 then G is soluble-by-finite.
- (3) [24] (CFSG) If G is a simple group and SU(G) = 3 then $G \cong PSL_2(K)$ for some pseudofinite field K.

Certain generalisations of these results to 'super-rosy' groups have recently been proved by Wagner [77]. Parts (1) and (2) above are proved without the classification of finite simple groups. It should also be possible to remove the assumption on the quantifier \exists^{∞} here and also in Theorem 5.2 below. This assumption was natural in the context of [24] where the central context was that of groups with *measurable* theory, for which the assumption holds.

We turn now to the NTP₂ condition in the context of finite and pseudofinite groups. Here, we use the following consequence of the NTP₂ condition.

Lemma 4.14 [56, Lemma 4.3] Let G be an \emptyset -definable group in a structure with NTP_2 theory, and $\psi(x, \bar{y})$ a formula implying $x \in G$. Then there is $k = k_{\psi} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Suppose that H is a subgroup of G, $\pi: H \longrightarrow \Pi_{i \in J} T_i$ is an epimorphism to the Cartesian product of the groups T_i , and $\pi_j: H \longrightarrow T_j$ is for each $j \in J$ the composition of π with the canonical projection $\Pi_{i \in J} T_i \to T_j$. Suppose also that for each $j \in J$, there is a subgroup $\bar{R}_j \leq G$ and group $R_j < T_j$ with $\bar{R}_j \cap H = \pi_j^{-1}(R_j)$, such that finite intersections of the groups \bar{R}_j are uniformly definable by instances of $\psi(x, \bar{y})$. Then $|J| \leq k$.

In the theorem below and its proof, we view Alt_n as having Lie rank n.

Theorem 4.15 Let C be a class of finite groups all of whose ultraproducts are NTP_2 . Then there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following hold, where $G \in C$ and R(G) is the soluble radical of G, with $\pi : G \to G/R(G)$ the natural map, and S := Soc(G/R(G)): the group S is a direct product $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_r$ of at most d non-abelian simple groups T_i which are of order at most d or of Lie rank (possibly twisted) at most d, and R(G) and the groups $\pi^{-1}(T_i)$ are uniformly definable, using finitely many formulas $\phi(x, \bar{y})$ as G ranges through C.

Proof Using Wilson's Theorem 3.5, we may suppose that R(G) = 1 for $G \in \mathcal{C}$.

Claim 1. S is a direct product of a bounded number of simple groups.

Proof of Claim 1 Suppose that for each $e \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $G \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $S = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_m$ for $m \geq e$, where the T_i are non-abelian simple groups. By [47, Corollary 1.5] (together with the Feit-Thompson Theorem) there is a constant c that that if G is a finite non-abelian simple group then every element of G is a product of exactly c conjugate involutions. In particular, there is $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in S$, where each g_i has order 2, such that every element of S is a product of c conjugates of c. Since c d it follows that c is uniformly definable in c.

Now, with g as above and $I \subseteq \{1, ..., m\}$ let $g_j^{(I)} = g_j$ if $j \in I$, and $g_j^{(I)} = 1$ otherwise. Put $g^{(I)} := (g_1^{(I)}, ..., g_m^{(I)})$. Let π_I be the projection of S onto the coordinates indexed by $\{1, ..., m\}\setminus I$, and $S_I := \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_I)$. Then the elements of S_I are exactly the products of at most c conjugates in S of $g^{(I)}$, so as S is definable



(uniformly as G varies) so are the $S^{(I)}$. We may now apply the finitary version of Lemma 4.14, putting H = S and $\bar{R}_i := \pi_{\{i\}}^{-1}$ for each $i = \{1, \ldots, m\}$, to conclude that some ultraproduct of C has TP₂ theory.

Given Claim 1, write $S = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_r$, where $r \leq d$ and the T_i are non-abelian simple. It remains to prove

Claim 2. There is a bound on the Lie rank of the T_i .

Proof of Claim 2 Since the T_i are uniformly definable, it suffices to show that any infinite ultraproduct of finite simple groups of increasingly large Lie rank has TP2 theory. We give a proof for alternating groups—the proof for classical groups of Lie type is very similar and is only sketched here. So let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} and $H := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Alt}_n / \mathcal{U}$. We view Alt_n as acting on $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$. It is well-known that the permutation group $(Alt_n, [n])$ is uniformly definable in the abstract group Alt_n. Likewise, any $J \subset [n]$, is uniformly (in n, J) parameter-definable in Alt_n as a set of form Fix(g) for appropriate g. Hence, subgroups of Alt_n of form $(Alt_n)_{(J)} :=$ $\{g \in Alt_n : g|_J = id|_J\}$ are uniformly definable. Now for increasingly large m and n >> m, pick disjoint subsets $J_1, \ldots, J_m, K_{11}, \ldots, K_{1m}, \ldots, K_{m1}, \ldots, K_{mm}$ of [n]of size m. For each $i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ pick $a_{ij} \in Alt_n$ with $J_i^{a_{ij}} = K_{ij}$. Also let $\psi(x,\bar{b}_i)$ be a formula defining $(Alt_n)_{(J_i)}$. Let $\phi(x,\bar{b}_ia_{ij})$ be the formula expressing that x lies in the coset $(Alt_n)_{(J_i)}a_{ij}$. Then for each i the formulas $\phi(x, b_i a_{ij})$ are 2inconsistent, and, essentially because of the disjointness of the J_i and K_{ij} , for any $f:\{1,\ldots,m\}\to\{1,\ldots,m\}$, the set $\phi(x,b_ia_{i,f(i)}):1\leq i\leq m\}$ is consistent. It follows by compactness that C has a TP_2 ultraproduct, a contradiction.

For the proof of Claim 2 when H is an ultraproduct of classical groups there are several arguments, and we omit some details. Suppose for example that H is an ultraproduct of groups of the form $\operatorname{PSL}_{n_i}(q_i)$ where $n_i \to \infty$. By the argument in [3, Proposition 3.11], there is a uniformly definable set C of pairs (g, g') of transvections in $\operatorname{PSL}_n(q)$ such that each pair determines a point of projective space, and a uniformly definable equivalence relation E on C such that (g, g')E(h, h') if and only if (g, g') and (h, h') determine the same projective point. We may thus identify the corresponding projective space with C/E, with G acting on it by conjugation. The argument then continues as in the last paragraph. For the symplectic, orthogonal, and unitary groups similar results in [3] can be applied.

Remark 4.16 1. It follows from Theorem 4.15 that if G is a pseudofinite group with NTP₂ theory then G has an \emptyset -definable normal subgroup R such that if $\bar{G} := G/R$, then \bar{G} has a definable normal subgroup S (the group generated by the definable minimal normal subgroups of \bar{G}) which is a direct product of finitely many definable finite or pseudofinite simple groups. We do not know if R must be soluble if G has simple theory—cf. Question 4.10. Note by Remark 4.7 (1) that in general R need not be soluble, even assuming that G has NIP theory.

2. An example of a class of finite groups with all ultraproducts NTP₂ (but not all simple or NIP) is the class of all groups $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime power residue ring. The reason, as in Remark 4.7 (1), is that such groups are uniformly interpretable in the class of rings \mathbb{Z}_p where p ranges over primes, and by [19, Example 7.7], any ultraproduct of such rings has NTP₂ theory.



4.3 Applications of generalised stability

We discuss several ways in which the model theory of pseudofinite groups has potential applications in finite group theory, or at least provides a model-theoretic viewpoint. There is overlap with Section 4 of [55] and Section 6 of [28].

1. Indecomposability. First, we mention a version of the well-known 'Zilber Indecomposability Theorem' for groups of finite Morley rank, itself a generalisation of a classical result on algebraic groups. The result is due to Wagner [76, 4.5.6], and the formulation below is in [25, Remark 2.5].

Theorem 4.17 (Indecomposability Theorem) Let G be a group interpretable in a supersimple finite SU-rank theory, and let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a collection of definable subsets of G. Then there exists a definable subgroup H of G such that:

- (i) $H \leq \langle X_i : i \in I \rangle$, and there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}$, and $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in I$, such that $H \leq X_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots X_{i_n}^{\epsilon_n}$. (ii) X_i/H is finite for each $i \in I$.

If the collection of X_i is setwise invariant under some group Σ of definable automorphisms of G, then H may be chosen to be Σ -invariant.

Theorem 4.18 [55, Theorem 4.2] Let C_{τ} be the family of finite simple groups of fixed Lie type τ (possibly twisted), and let $\phi(x, \bar{y})$ be an L_{gp} -formula. Then there is $d = d(\phi, \tau)$ such that if $G \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau}$, $\bar{a} \in G^{|\bar{y}|}$, and $X = \phi(G, \bar{a})$ satisfies |X| > d, then G is a product of at most d conjugates of $X \cup X^{-1}$.

There are analogues of Theorem 4.17 already in [37], for groups uniformly definable in finite fields—see e.g. [37, Proposition 1.13]. Various consequences are given there for example, in Proposition 4.3, a new proof of a result of Nori on subgroups of $GL_n(p)$ generated by elements of order p. A further application of such results is given by Lubotzky in [50], in a proof of a result announced in [39]. Recall that, for $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, a finite k-regular graph Γ with vertex set V is called an ϵ -expander if for every $A \subset V$ with $|A| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V|$ we have $|\partial A| \geq \epsilon |A|$, where ∂A is the set of vertices outside A with a neighbour in A. Suzuki groups have also been shown to satisfy the theorem below—see [10].

Theorem 4.19 [39] There is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that if G is a finite simple group (not a Suzuki group), then G has a set of k generators for which the Cayley graph Cay(G, S) is an ϵ -expander.

In the approach to this theorem in [50], a key step is the following result. As explained in [50], it follows almost immediately from [37], or from Theorem 4.17.

Theorem 4.20 [50, Theorem 4.1] There is a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if G is a finite simple group of Lie type of rank r, but not of Suzuki type, then G is a product of f(r) copies of SL_2 .

2. Asymptotic classes.

The following notion was introduced by Elwes in [23], extending a 1-dimensional version explored in [53].



Definition 4.21 Let C be a class of finite L-structures. Then C is an N-dimensional asymptotic class if the following hold.

(i) For every L-formula $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ where $l(\bar{x}) = n$ and $l(\bar{y}) = m$, there is a finite set of pairs $D \subseteq (\{0, \dots, Nn\} \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}) \cup \{(0, 0)\}$ and for each $(d, \mu) \in D$ a collection $\Phi_{(d, \mu)}$ of pairs of the form (M, \bar{a}) where $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\bar{a} \in M^m$, so that $\{\Phi_{(d, \mu)} : (d, \mu) \in D\}$ is a partition of $\{(M, \bar{a}) : M \in \mathcal{C}, \bar{a} \in M^m\}$, and

$$\left| |\phi(M^n, \bar{a})| - \mu |M|^{\frac{d}{N}} \right| = o(|M|^{\frac{d}{N}})$$

as $|M| \to \infty$ and $(M, \bar{a}) \in \Phi_{(d,\mu)}$.

(ii) Each $\Phi_{(d,\mu)}$ is \emptyset -definable, that is to say $\{\bar{a} \in M^m : (M,\bar{a}) \in \Phi_{(d,\mu)}\}$ is uniformly \emptyset -definable across C.

The class of all finite fields is, by the main theorem of [14], a *1-dimensional asymptotic class* in the sense of [53]. Likewise, by [67, Theorem 3.5.8] the classes $\mathcal{C}_{1,2,2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1,2,3}$ of difference fields of form $(\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k+1}}, x \mapsto x^{2^k})$ and $(\mathbb{F}_{3^{2k+1}}, x \mapsto x^{3^k})$ respectively are 1-dimensional asymptotic classes. Elwes showed that if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are families of finite structures and $f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ is a bijection such that for each $M \in \mathcal{C}$, M and f(M) are uniformly parameter-free bi-interpretable, then \mathcal{C} is an asymptotic class if and only if \mathcal{C}' is. With some additional work (because of use of parameters to interpret the fields in the groups), this yields

Theorem 4.22 [67] Let C_{τ} be the class of all finite simple groups of fixed Lie type τ . Then C_{τ} is an N-dimensional asymptotic class for some N (and the values of μ in the definition are rational).

It is shown in [53] that if M is an ultraproduct of an N-dimensional asymptotic class then Th(M) is supersimple of rank at most N. Furthermore, it is possible, using the definability clause (ii) in Definition 4.21, consistently to assign a pair (d, μ) to every definable set so that certain basic counting axioms are satisfied in M; we say that Th(M) is *measurable*. It follows from Theorem 4.22 and 3.1 that any simple pseudofinite group has measurable theory in this sense. Measurability for groups is discussed further in [40] (where measurable abelian groups are classified and shown to be pseudofinite) and in [25,53] and [24], but not explored here.

We know that classes C_{τ} of finite simple groups of fixed Lie type are uniformly definable in finite (difference) fields. In fact, much more is definable. The asymptotic information in Theorem 4.22 should have applications through the following result (see also Theorem 5.7 below). For the notion of restricted weight, see the discussion above [48, Proposition 4.12].

Proposition 4.23 [48, Proposition 4.12] Let C_{τ} be a class of finite simple groups G(q) of fixed Lie type τ , let $\mathbb{F}_q G(q)$ denote the corresponding group ring, and let $V(\lambda)$ be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q G(q)$ -module of restricted weight λ , with the action of G(q) on $V(\lambda)$ given by $\rho(q): G(q) \times V(\lambda) \to V(\lambda)$. Then the structures $(G(q), V_{\lambda}(q), \mathbb{F}_q, \rho(q))$ are uniformly definable in the fields \mathbb{F}_q or in corresponding difference fields.

The following result is proved in [64, Proposition 2.2], with the easy (ii) added in [55, Theorem 4.7]. We do not give background on generic types for groups definable



in simple theories, but refer to [76] or [64]. In (ii), G_M° denotes the intersection of the M-definable subgroups of G of finite index, and $S_G(M)$ denotes the set of all complete 1-types over M which contain the formula $x \in G$.

Theorem 4.24 Let T be a simple theory over a countable language, \bar{M} an ω_1 -saturated model of T with a countable elementary substructure M, and G an \emptyset -definable group in \bar{M} . Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be three principal generic types of G over M.

- (i) There are $g_1, g_2 \in \overline{M}$ such that $g_i \models p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, g_1$ and g_2 are forking-independent over M, and $g_1g_2 \models p_3$.
- (ii) If $r \in S_G(M)$ has realisations in G_M^o then there are $a_i \in G$ with $a_i \models p_i$ (for i = 1, 2, 3) such that $a_1 a_2 a_3 \models r$.

Using the asymptotic information in Theorem 4.22, this easily yields the following.

Corollary 4.25 Let C_{τ} be as in Theorem 4.18, and let $\phi_i(x, \bar{y})$ be formulas for i = 1, 2, 3. Then there is $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0}$ such that for any sufficiently large $G \in C_{\tau}$ and $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_3 \in G^{|\bar{y}|}$, if $|\phi_i(G, \bar{a}_i)| \ge \mu|G|$ for each i, then

$$\phi_1(G, \bar{a}_1).\phi_2(G, \bar{a}_2).\phi_3(G, \bar{a}_3) = G.$$

The proof shows in addition that $|\frac{\phi_1(G,\bar{a}_1).\phi_2(G,\bar{a}_2)}{|G|}| \to 1$ as $|G| \to \infty$. We remark that the same result follows from Nikolov–Pyber [60], where it is rapidly derived from the following result of Gowers (and the Nikolov–Pyber result is about arbitrary sufficiently large subsets of G, not necessarily definable).

Proposition 4.26 ([29], see also [60]) Let G be a group of order n such that the minimal degree of a nontrivial representation is k. If A, B, C are three subsets of G such that $|A| \cdot |B| \cdot |C| > \frac{n^3}{k}$, then there is $(a, b, c) \in A \times B \times C$ such that ab = c.

In particular, if $w(x_1, ..., x_d)$ is a non-trivial group word, then w defines a map $G^d \to G$ by evaluation, and we denote the image of w by w(G). For example, if $w(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_1 x_2$ then w(G) is the set of commutators of G. Using a result of Larsen [44] (with an earlier version due to Borel) which says that in simple algebraic groups the word map is dominant, Corollary 4.25 yields

Theorem 4.27 Let w_1, w_2, w_3 be non-trivial group words, and C_{τ} a family of finite simple groups of fixed Lie type. Then $w_1(G)w_2(G)w_3(G) = G$ for sufficiently large $G \in C_{\tau}$.

Remark 4.28 1. There has been considerable recent literature on word maps, with much stronger results proved. For example, by [45], if w_1 , w_2 are non-trivial words, and G is any sufficiently large finite simple group, then $w_1(G)w_2(G) = G$. For finite quasisimple groups (groups G such that G = G' and G/Z(G) is non-abelian simple) this does not hold in general, but for any three non-trivial words we have $w_1(G)w_2(G)w_3(G) = G$ if G is sufficiently large relative to the w_i —see [46]. The famous Ore Conjecture states that if G is a non-abelian finite simple group then every element of G is a commutator. This has now been proved—see [49].



- 2. If $w(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is a group word, Theorem 4.22 can be applied, within a family C_{τ} of finite simple groups, to the formula $\phi(\bar{x}, y)$ of form $w(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = y$, to give uniformity on the asymptotic sizes of the preimages of the word map $w: G^d \to G$ for $G \in C_{\tau}$.
- **3. Towards CFSG?** Given that pseudofinite simple groups have supersimple finite rank theory, one might (ambitiously) hope to classify them, under the additional assumption of supersimplicity, *without* using the classification of finite simple groups (CFSG). More generally, one might hope, without CFSG, to describe infinite families of finite simple groups all of whose ultraproducts are supersimple of finite rank. This is in the spirit of the Cherlin-Zilber Algebraicity Conjecture, which asserts that any simple group of finite Morley rank is isomorphic to a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field.

Parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.13 are in this spirit. One route in this direction would be to classify, without CFSG, families of finite simple groups (with supersimple ultraproducts) with a BN pair. In a major piece of work, Tits and Weiss [72] classified 'Moufang' generalised polygons. Dello Stritto [21] used this to show that each of the parametrised families of finite Moufang generalised polygons is an asymptotic class. This and further work of dello Stritto gives a description of all Moufang polygons with supersimple theory of finite SU-rank. This should yield a description of groups which have supersimple finite SU-rank theory and which have a definable spherical Moufang BN pair of Tits rank at least 2.

5 Pseudofinite permutation groups

There are the beginnings of a structure theory of pseudofinite permutation groups, and of the model theory of families of finite permutation groups, in part under additional model-theoretic hypotheses. Recall that a permutation group G on a set X (here written (G,X)) is *primitive* if there is no proper non-trivial G-invariant equivalence relation on X, and a definable permutation group is *definably primitive* if there is no proper non-trivial *definable* G-invariant equivalence relation on X. For a transitive permutation group G on G0 on G1, primitivity is equivalent to each point stabiliser G1, (for G2) being maximal, and likewise definable primitivity is equivalent to point stabilisers being 'definably maximal'. In finite permutation group theory, and to a lesser extent infinite permutation group theory, primitive permutation groups act as building blocks for all permutation groups, and many questions are reduced to problems on primitive permutation groups. Mimicking a result from [52] in the finite Morley rank case, Elwes and Ryten used Theorem 4.17 to prove the following.

Proposition 5.1 [25] Let (G, X) be a definably primitive permutation group definable in a supersimple finite rank theory T such that T^{eq} eliminates \exists^{∞} , and suppose that for $x \in X$ the point stabiliser G_x is infinite. Then G is primitive on X.

In a fundamental result, Hrushovski [34] described possible definable transitive group actions on a strongly minimal set in a stable theory. Our nearest analogue in the pseudofinite case is the following, with the classification of finite simple groups currently needed in the description of case (iii).



Theorem 5.2 [24, Theorem 5.1] Let (G, X) be a definably primitive pseudofinite permutation group in a supersimple finite rank theory which eliminates \exists^{∞} , and suppose that $\operatorname{rk}(X) = 1$. Let $S = \operatorname{Soc}(G)$. Then one of the following holds.

- (i) rk(G) = 1, and S is divisible torsion-free abelian or elementary abelian, has finite index in G, and acts regularly on X.
- (ii) $\operatorname{rk}(G) = 2$. Here S is abelian so regular and identified with X. There is an interpretable pseudofinite field F with additive group X, and $G \leq \operatorname{AGL}_1(F)$ (a subgroup of finite index), in the natural action.
- (iii) $\operatorname{rk}(G) = 3$. There is an interpretable pseudofinite field F, $S = \operatorname{PSL}_2(F)$, $\operatorname{PSL}_2(F) \leq G \leq \operatorname{P}\Gamma \operatorname{L}_2(F)$, and X can be identified with $\operatorname{PG}_1(F)$ in such a way that the action of G on $\operatorname{PG}_1(F)$ is the natural one.

We take the opportunity to fill a gap at the end of the proof of Theorem 5.2, pointed out by Wagner. Right at the end of the proof of Lemma 5.15 of [24], at the end of Sect. 5, it is asserted that $|G: \mathrm{PSL}_2(F)|$ is finite (to ensure SU(G)=3), and in particular if B is a definable group of automorphisms of F then B is finite. The reason given is that otherwise there would be $b \in B$ such that $\mathrm{Fix}(b)$ is an infinite (definable) subfield of F, contradicting that F has finite rank. This argument is not clear—a priori all elements of B could have the same finite fixed field. However, it can be shown that in such a case the orbits of B on F would be the classes of a definable equivalence relation on F with infinitely many infinite classes, contradicting the assumption that SU(F)=1.

In [8] Borovik and Cherlin answer a question first raised in [9, Problem 1.6], showing that there is a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if (G, X) is a primitive permutation group of finite Morley rank then $RM(G) \le f(RM(X))$, where RM denotes Morley rank. The proof uses the O'Nan-Scott-Aschbacher analysis of [52], and, remarkably, though there is no classification of simple groups of finite Morley rank, uses many of the difficult tools developed with such a classification in mind. There is an analogous result for definably primitive permutation groups in o-minimal structures (where there is a classification of definably simple definable groups, due to Peterzil, Pillay, and Starchenko) in [51]. In the pseudofinite case, we pose the following question. It is also raised in [24], where Theorem 6.2 provides partial information, and material in [48] should yield an answer.

Problem 5.3 Show that there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if (G, X) is a pseudofinite definably primitive definable permutation group in a supersimple theory of finite SU-rank then $SU(G) \le f(SU(X))$.

Given the rich literature on finite primitive permutation groups, it is natural to attempt to *classify* primitive pseudofinite permutation groups. This was tackled in [48], with the main results from there sketched below. If G is transitive on X, then an *orbital graph* of G on X is a graph with vertex set X and edge set some G-orbit on the set of unordered 2-element subsets of X. The following useful criterion for primitivity, due to D.G. Higman, is well-known.

Proposition 5.4 [32] Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set X. Then the following are equivalent.



- (1) G is primitive on X,
- (2) every orbital graph of (G, X) is connected.

The following is now an elementary exercise.

Proposition 5.5 [48] Let (G, X) be an ω -saturated transitive pseudofinite permutation group. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) (G, X) is primitive.
- (2) If $x \in X$ then G_x is boundedly maximal in G, that is, there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $g, h \in G \setminus G_x$ then there are $x_1, \ldots, x_{d+1} \in G_x$ and $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_d \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $h = x_1 g^{\epsilon_1} x_2 \ldots x_d g^{\epsilon_d} x_{d+1}$.
- (3) There is $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each orbital graph of (G, X) is connected of diameter at most e.

The following question was raised in [48].

Question 5.6 Is there a primitive pseudofinite permutation group with infinite point stabiliser such that there is no finite bound on the diameters of the orbital graphs? By the last theorem, such a structure will not be ω -saturated.

In [48] a description, close to a full classification, is given of primitive ω -saturated pseudofinite permutation groups. It is involved, and we omit the details.

A key ingredient in [48] is to consider pairs (G, H) where G is a finite simple group of Lie type and H is a maximal (proper) subgroup of G (named by a unary predicate). This is equivalent to considering the group G together with a definable primitive action of G on a set X, namely the set of left cosets of H in G. If G = G(q) is a simple group of Lie type and $Q = (Q')^r$, then a *subfield subgroup* of G is one of the form G(Q') (so of the same Lie type), embedded naturally. Such subgroups can be maximal if P is prime.

Theorem 5.7 [48] Let τ be a fixed Lie type, and let $C_{\tau,d}$ be the set of pairs (G, H) where G is a finite simple group of Lie type τ , H is a maximal subgroup of G, and if H is a subfield subgroup then the corresponding field extension has degree at most d. Then

- (1) the class $C_{\tau,d}$ is uniformly definable in the corresponding family of fields or difference fields, that is, there are finitely many tuples of formulas which serve (with suitable choice of parameters) to define all such pairs;
- (2) any non-principal ultraproduct of such a family $C_{\tau,d}$ will be a pair (G^*, H^*) with supersimple finite rank theory, such that H^* is maximal in G^* .

This theorem was mis-stated in [48, Corollary 4.11], for the subgroups PSU(n, q) are maximal but not uniformly definable in $PSL(n, q^2)$ —see the comments after Theorem 4.1 above. The pair (G, H) is uniformly definable in the (difference) field, but not, in a few special cases such as this, in the larger group G.

The last assertion in (2) above (maximality of H^* in G^*) follows from the remaining assertions, together with an argument using Theorem 4.17. This was used in [48] to give a description of all ω -saturated pseudofinite primitive permutation groups, that



is ω -saturated pseudofinite pairs (G, H) with H a maximal subgroup of G which is core-free, that is, satisfies $\bigcap_{g \in G} H^g = \{1\}$. Essentially, this is equivalent to describing families \mathcal{F}_d of *finite* primitive permutation groups G on sets X such that, for every orbit E of G on the set $X^{[2]}$ of unordered 2-subsets of X, the graph on X with edge set E is connected of diameter at most d.

6 Further directions

The following well-known question, raised by Sabbagh, has been open for a long time.

Question 6.1 Is there a finitely generated pseudofinite group?

For some discussion of this question, see Section 3 of [62] (for example Proposition 3.9). The latter paper has a number of interesting results on pseudofinite groups somewhat disjoint to this survey, such as the following analogue of the Tits Alternative.

Theorem 6.2 [62, Theorem 4.1] Let G be an ω -saturated pseudofinite group. Then either G contains a free subsemigroup of rank 2, or G is nilpotent-by-(uniformly locally finite).

Motivated by foundational questions in physics, Zilber [81] has asked the following question. See also [65] for a discussion of related topics on pseudofinite groups.

Question 6.3 (Zilber) Can an ultraproduct of finite groups have $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ (or any compact simple real Lie group) as a quotient? More generally, it would be interesting to identify positive sentences of L_{gp} which hold of all finite groups but not of all groups. Here a sentence is positive if it is equivalent to one in prenex normal form with only the propositional connectives \land and \lor ; such sentences are preserved by group homomorphisms.

The following theorem answers a question raised in conversation by G. Levitt. The author recently discovered that the same result was proved much earlier by Felgner [27, Section 3]. Felgner observed that the finitely generated infinite simple group G constructed by Higman [31] in 1951, with presentation

$$G = \langle a, b, c, d : a^b = a^2, b^c = b^2, c^d = c^2, d^a = d^2 \rangle$$

does not embed in any pseudofinite group; for, as follows from Higman's paper, a pseudofinite group cannot satisfy the sentence

$$\exists x\exists y\exists z\exists w(x\neq 1 \land x^y=x^2 \land y^z=y^2 \land z^w=z^2 \land w^x=w^2).$$

Theorem 6.4 (i) Let S be the group of all permutations of a countably infinite set X. Then S does not embed in any pseudofinite group.

(ii) There is a finitely generated group which does not embed in any pseudofinite group.



Proof (i) Let σ be the sentence

$$\exists f \exists g \exists h([f^2, g] = 1 \land [f, g] \neq 1 \land h^{-1}fh = f^2).$$

Suppose $G \models \sigma$, with witnesses f, g, h. Then $C_G(f^2) > C_G(f)$ and $h^{-1}C_G(f)h = C_G(f^2)$. Hence h has infinite order, so G is infinite. Thus, if H is a finite group, then $H \models \neg \sigma$, so every pseudofinite group satisfies $\neg \sigma$. As $\neg \sigma$ is universal, every group which embeds in a pseudofinite group satisfies $\neg \sigma$.

However, we claim that $S = \operatorname{Sym}(X) \models \sigma$, where X is a countably infinite set. Indeed, write $X = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} X_i$ as a disjoint union of infinite co-infinite subsets of X. For each i put $X_i := \{x_{ij} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let f act on X by putting $f(x_{ij}) = x_{i,j+1}$ for each i, j. Since f and f^2 have the same cycle type (infinitely many infinite cycles and no other cycles) they are conjugate, that is, there is $h \in S$ with $h^{-1}fh = f^2$. Let g be the element of S such that $x_{0,2i}^g = x_{0,2i+2}$, with g fixing all other elements of X. Then $g \in C_G(f^2) \setminus C_G(f)$, as required.

(ii) The finitely generated subgroup $\langle f, g, h \rangle$ of S also satisfies σ , so does not embed in any pseudofinite group.

Remark 6.5 1. If G is a locally finite group then G embeds in some pseudofinite group. Indeed, we may suppose G is infinite. Let Δ be the atomic diagram of G, and T be the theory of finite groups. Then clearly $T \cup \Delta$ is consistent, and any model of it is an infinite pseudofinite group which embeds G. This is a special case of a result of Malcev that if G is a group then G embeds in some ultraproduct of the finitely generated subgroups of G.

2. Felgner [27] asks whether one can characterise groups which embed in some pseudofinite group, and in particular whether every torsion group embeds in some pseudofinite group. As he notes, the answer to this is positive precisely if every universal sentence in the language $L_{\rm gp}$ of groups which holds in all finite groups also holds in all torsion groups. The latter was posed as a question by Huber-Dyson [38].

Finally, in [6], Bello Aguirre has begun an investigation into pseudofinite *rings*, by giving the following complete description of the generalised stability properties of pseudofinite residue rings. Similar results, but from a different viewpoint (quotients of prime ideals in non-standard elementary extensions of $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \times)$) have been obtained by D'Aquino and Macintyre.

Theorem 6.6 [6] Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} and F be the ring $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{U}$. Then exactly one of the following holds, where $T=\operatorname{Th}(F)$.

- (1) T is NIP and there is a finite set S of primes and some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that for $n \in U$, every prime divisor of n lies in S.
- (2) *T* is supersimple of finite rank, and there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that each $n \in U$ is a product of at most d prime powers, each with exponent at most d.
- (3) T is NTP_2 but not simple or NIP, and there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each $n \in U$ has at most d prime divisors, but the conditions in (1) and (2) do not hold.
- (4) T is TP_2 , and for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $U = U_d \in \mathcal{U}$ such that each $n \in U$ has at least d distinct prime divisors.



The proof uses some model theory of p-adically closed fields and of their ultraproducts. A key point in (2) is that $\mathbb{Z}/p^d\mathbb{Z}$ is, for fixed d, uniformly (in p) coordinatised by $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. The proof of the TP₂ condition in (4) uses Proposition 2.9. The arguments in case (2) have more recently been extended by Bello Aguirre in [7] to prove the following.

Theorem 6.7 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}$. Then the collection of all residue rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^d\mathbb{Z}$ forms a d-dimensional asymptotic class.

Bello Aguirre also investigates in [7] the structure of pseudofinite rings in general, and gives a rapid proof of the following analogue of Wilson's Theorem 3.1. Here a ring is *simple* if it has no proper non-trivial two-sided ideal, and for any field F, $M_n(F)$ denotes the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over F.

Theorem 6.8 Let R be a simple pseudofinite ring. Then $R \cong M_n(F)$ for some pseudofinite field F and some positive integer n.

Acknowledgements I warmly thank the organisers of the 'IPM conference on set theory and model theory', Tehran, October 12–16 2015, a meeting which led to preparation of this paper. I also thank the referee for a number of helpful presentational suggestions.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- 1. Ax, J.: The elementary theory of finite fields. Ann. Math. 88, 239–271 (1968)
- 2. Baldwin, J., Saxl, J.: Logical stability in group theory. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 21, 267-276 (1976)
- Barbina, S.: Reconstruction of classical geometries from their automorphism groups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 75, 298–316 (2007)
- Baudisch, A.: Mekler's construction preserves CM-triviality. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 115, 115–173 (2002)
- Bélair, L.: Types dans les corps valués munis d'applications coefficients. Ill. J. Math. 43(2), 410–425 (1999)
- Bello Aguirre, R.: Generalised stability of ultraproducts of finite residue rings. arXiv:1503.04454
- Bello Aguirre, R.: Model Theory of Finite and Pseudofinite Rings. Ph.D. thesis, University of Leeds, (2016)
- 8. Borovik, A., Cherlin, G.: Permutation groups of finite Morley rank. In: Chatzidakis, Z., Macpherson, H.D., Pillay, A., Wilkie, A.J. (eds.) Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 350, pp. 59–124. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008)
- 9. Borovik, A., Thomas, S.: On generic normal subgroups. In: Kaye, R., Macpherson, H.D. (eds.) Automorphisms of First-Order Structures, pp. 319–324. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1994)
- Breuillard, E., Green, B., Tao, T.C.: Suzuki groups as expanders. Groups Geom. Dyn. 5, 281–299 (2011)
- Bunina, E.I., Mikhalev, A.V.: Elementary properties of linear groups and related problems. J. Math. Sci. 123, 3921–3985 (2004)
- 12. Carter, R.W.: Simple Groups of Lie Type. Wiley, London (1972)
- Casanovas, E.: Simple Theories and Hyperimaginaries. Lecture Notes in Logic, vol. 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011)
- Chatzidakis, Z., van den Dries, L., Macintyre, A.J.: Definable sets over finite fields. J. Reine Angew. Math. 427, 107–135 (1992)



- Chatzidakis, Z.: Model theory of finite fields and pseudofinite fields. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 88, 95–108 (1997)
- Chatzidakis, Z., Hrushovski, E.: The model theory of difference fields. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 351, 2997–3071 (1999)
- Chatzidakis, Z., Hrushovski, E., Peterzil, Y.: Model theory of difference fields II. Periodic ideals and the trichotomy in all characteristics. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 85, 257–311 (2002)
- Cherlin, G., Hrushovski, E.: Finite Structures with Few Types. Annals of Math. Studies 152. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2003)
- Chernikov, A.: Theories without the tree property of the second kind. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 165, 695–723 (2014)
- Chernikov, A., Kaplan, I., Simon, P.: Groups and fields with NTP2. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 143, 395–406 (2015)
- 21. Dello Stritto, P.: Asymptotic classes of finite Moufang polygons. J. Algebra 332, 114–135 (2011)
- Duret, J.L.: Les corps faiblement algébriquement clos non séparablement clos ont la propriété d'indépendence. In: Model Theory of Algebra and Arithmetic, Springer Lecture Notes vol. 834, pp. 135–157 (1980)
- 23. Elwes, R.: Asymptotic classes of finite structures. J. Symb. Logic 72, 418–438 (2007)
- Elwes, R., Jaligot, E., Macpherson, H.D., Ryten, M.J.: Groups in supersimple and pseudofinite theories. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 103, 1049–1082 (2011)
- 25. Elwes, R., Ryten, M.: Measurable groups of low dimension. Math. Log. Q. 54, 374–386 (2008)
- Ershov, J.: Undecidability of the theories of symmetric and simple finite groups. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 158, 777–779 (1964)
- 27. Felgner, U.: Pseudo-endliche Gruppen. In: Proceedings of the 8th Easter Conference on Model Theory (Wendisch-Rietz, 1990), pp. 82–96, Seminarberichte 110, Humboldt University, Berlin (1990)
- 28. Garcia, D., Macpherson, H.D., Steinhorn, C.: Pseudofinite structures and simplicity. J. Math. Logic 15(1), 1550002 (2015)
- 29. Gowers, T.: Quasirandom groups. Comb. Prob. Comput. 17, 363–378 (2008)
- Granger, N.: Stability, simplicity and the model theory of bilinear forms. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Manchester (1999). www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~mprest/
- 31. Higman, G.: A finitely generated infinite simple group. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 26, 61–64 (1951)
- 32. Higman, D.G.: Intersection matrices for finite permutation groups. J. Algebra 6, 22–42 (1967)
- 33. Hodges, W.: Model Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
- 34. Hrushovski, E.: Almost orthogonal regular types. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 45, 139–155 (1989)
- Hrushovski, E.: Pseudofinite fields and related structures. In: Bélair, L., Chatzidakis, Z., d'Aquino, P., Marker, D., Otero, M., Point, F., Wilkie, A.J. (eds.) Model Theory and Applications. Quaderni di Matematica, vol. 11, pp. 151–212. Aracne, Rome (2002)
- 36. Hrushovski, E.: The elementary theory of the Frobenius automorphisms. arXiv:math/0406514
- 37. Hrushovski, A., Pillay, A.: Definable subgroups of algebraic groups over finite fields. J. Reine Angew. Math. **462**, 69–91 (1995)
- 38. Huber-Dyson, V.: A reduction of the open sentence problem for finite groups. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 13, 331–338 (1981)
- Kassabov, M., Lubotzky, A., Nikolov, N.: Finite simple groups as expanders. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103(16), 6116–6119 (2006)
- 40. Kestner, C.: Measurability in modules. Arch. Math. Logic 53, 593-620 (2014)
- Khukhro, E.I.: On solubility of groups with bounded centralizer chains. Glasgow Math. J. 51, 49–54 (2009)
- Kiefe, C.: Sets definable over finite fields: their zeta functions. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 223, 45–59 (1976)
- 43. Kim, B.: Simplicity Theory, Oxford Logic Guides. Clarendon Press, Oxford (2013)
- 44. Larsen, M.: Word maps have large image. Isr. J. Math. **139**, 149–156 (2004)
- Larsen, M., Shalev, A., Tiep, P.: The Waring problem for finite simple groups. Ann. Math. 174, 1885– 1950 (2011)
- Larsen, M., Shalev, A., Tiep, P.: The Waring problem for finite quasisimple groups. Int. Math. Res. Not. 10, 2323–2348 (2013)
- 47. Liebeck, M.W., Shalev, A.: Diameters of finite simple groups: sharp bounds and applications. Ann. Math. **154**, 383–406 (2001)



 Liebeck, M.W., Macpherson, H.D., Tent, K.: Primitive permutation groups of bounded orbital diameter. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 100, 216–248 (2010)

- Liebeck, M.W., O'Brien, E.A., Shalev, A., Tiep, P.H.: The ore conjecture. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 12, 939–1008 (2010)
- 50. Lubotzky, A.: Finite simple groups of Lie type as expanders. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 13, 1331–1341 (2011)
- 51. Macpherson, H.D., Mosley, A., Tent, K.: Permutation groups in o-minimal structures. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 62, 650–670 (2000)
- Macpherson, H.D., Pillay, A.: Primitive permutation groups of finite Morley rank. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 70, 481–504 (1995)
- Macpherson, H.D., Steinhorn, C.: One-dimensional asymptotic classes of finite structures. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 360, 411–448 (2008)
- 54. Macpherson, H.D., Tent, K.: Stable pseudofinite groups. J. Algebra 312, 550–561 (2007)
- Macpherson, H.D., Tent, K.: Pseudofinite groups with NIP theory and definability in finite simple groups. In: Strüngmann, L., Droste, M., Fuchs, L., Tent, K. (eds.) Groups and Model Theory. Contemp. Math. Soc., vol. 576, pp. 255–267. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2012)
- Macpherson, H.D., Tent, K.: Profinite groups with NIP theory and p-adic analytic groups. arXiv:1603.02179
- 57. Mekler, A.H.: Stability of nilpotent groups of class 2 and prime exponent. J. Symb. Logic 46, 781–788 (1981)
- 58. Milliet, C.: On the definability of radicals in supersimple groups. J. Symb. Logic 78(2), 649-656 (2013)
- Milliet, C.: Definable envelopes in groups having a simple theory. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00657716v2/document
- Nikolov, N., Pyber, L.: Product decompositions of quasirandom groups and a Jordan type theorem. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 13, 1063–1077 (2011)
- 61. Nies, A., Tent, K.: Describing finite groups by short first-order sentences. arXiv: 1409.8390
- 62. Ould Houcine, A., Point, F.: Alternatives for pseudofinite groups. J. Group Theory 16, 461–495 (2013)
- 63. Pillay, A.: Geometric Stability Theory. Oxford Logic Guides. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1996)
- 64. Pillay, A., Scanlon, T., Wagner, F.: Supersimple fields and division rings. Math. Res. Lett. 5, 473–483 (1998)
- 65. Pillay, A.: Remarks on compactifications of pseudofinite groups. arXiv:1509.02895
- 66. Point, F.: Ultraproducts and chevalley groups. Arch. Math. Logic 38, 355–372 (1999)
- 67. Ryten, M.J.: Model theory of finite difference fields and simple groups. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Leeds (2007). http://www1.maths.leeds.ac.uk/Pure/staff/macpherson/ryten1.pdf
- 68. Shalev, A.: Characterisation of *p*-adic analytic groups in terms of wreath products. J. Algebra **145**, 204–208 (1992)
- Simon, P.: A Guide to NIP Theories. Lecture Notes in Logic, vol. 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015)
- Tent, K., Ziegler, M.: A Course in Model Theory. Lecture Notes in Logic, vol. 40. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012)
- Thompson, J.G.: Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable (Part I). Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (NS) 74, 383–437 (1968)
- 72. Tits, J., Weiss, R.: Moufang Polygons. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2002)
- 73. Ugurlu, P.: Pseudofinite groups as fixed points of simple groups of finite Morley rank. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 217, 892–900 (2013)
- 74. van den Dries, L.: Lectures on the model theory of valued fields. In: Model Theory in Algebra, Analysis, and Arithmetic, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 2111, pp. 55–157. Springer, Heidelberg (2014)
- Wagner, F.: Stable Groups. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes no. 240. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)
- 76. Wagner, F.: Simple Theories. Kluwer, Dordrecht (2000)
- 77. Wagner, F.: Pseudofinite \mathfrak{M}_c -groups. arXiv:1511.09272
- 78. Wilson, J.S.: On pseudofinite simple groups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 51, 471–490 (1995)
- 79. Wilson, J.S.: Finite axiomatisation of finite soluble groups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 74, 566–582 (2006)
- 80. Wilson, J.S.: First-order characterization of the radical of a finite group. J. Symb. Logic **74**, 1429–1435 (2009)
- Zilber, B.: Perfect infinities and finite approximation. In: Infinity and Truth, Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap., vol. 25, pp. 199–223. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack (2014)

