Motivation	Symplectic coupled flow	<i>J</i> -volume	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook

# Coupled flows and calibrated geometry

Jason D. Lotay

University College London

#### 3 September 2014

Joint with Tommaso Pacini (SNS, Pisa)

arXiv:1404.4227

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Motivation ●	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume 00	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook 0
Motivation				

#### Question

What is the "best" way to deform a geometric structure?

- Riemannian metric g → Ricci flow ∂<sub>t</sub>g<sub>t</sub> = -2 Ric(g<sub>t</sub>)
  Critical g: Ricci-flat ⊇ (most) special holonomy
- ι : N → (M,g) → mean curvature flow ∂<sub>t</sub>ι<sub>t</sub> = H(ι<sub>t</sub>) Critical ι: minimal ⊇ calibrated
- A connection → Yang-Mills flow ∂<sub>t</sub>A<sub>t</sub> = -d<sup>\*</sup><sub>At</sub>F(A<sub>t</sub>) Critical A: Yang-Mills ⊇ instantons

Idea: try to find symplectic and G<sub>2</sub> analogues via "coupling"

Motivation	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook
0	●○○○	00		0
Lagrang	ians			

- $(M,\omega)$  symplectic,  $\iota: L^n \hookrightarrow M^{2n}$  Lagrangian  $\iota^*\omega = 0$ 
  - compatible almost complex structure  $J \rightsquigarrow g(.,.) = \omega(.,J.)$
  - mean curvature flow does not usually preserve Lagrangians

#### Theorem (Smoczyk 1996)

M Kähler, L compact

- Kähler–Ricci flow  $\partial_t \omega_t = -\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_t)$
- "coupled" mean curvature flow  $\partial_t \iota_t = H(\iota_t)$

 $\Rightarrow \iota_t : L \hookrightarrow (M, \omega_t)$  Lagrangian for all t

M Calabi–Yau  $\rightsquigarrow$  Lagrangian mean curvature flow

• Critical points  $\leftrightarrow$  special Lagrangians

Motivation	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook
0	○●○○	00		O
Canonica	al geometry			

 $(M, \omega)$  symplectic, J compatible  $\leftrightarrow$  almost Kähler

- $\rightsquigarrow$  canonical (Chern) connection  $\nabla$
- $\iota: L^n \hookrightarrow M^{2n}$  totally real  $\leftrightarrow J(TL) \pitchfork TL$
- $K_M$  canonical bundle  $\rightsquigarrow K_M|_L$  trivial
- $\rightsquigarrow$  canonical unit section  $\Omega_L$  of  $K_M|_L$
- $\rightsquigarrow$  connection 1-form  $\nabla \Omega_L = i \mu_L \otimes \Omega_L$

#### Definition

- $\mu_L$  is the Maslov form of L
- Maslov flow  $\partial_t \iota_t = -J\mu_L(\iota_t)$

M Kähler, L Lagrangian  $\Rightarrow -J\mu_L = H$ 

Motivation	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook
O	○○●○	00		0
Symplecti	c coupled flow			

## Key facts

- Chern–Weil:  $P(X, Y) = \operatorname{tr}_{\omega} R_{\nabla}(X, Y)$  represents  $4\pi c_1(M)$
- *M* Kähler  $\Rightarrow P = 2 \operatorname{Ric}(\omega)$

• 
$$\mathcal{L}_{-J\mu_L}\omega = \mathrm{d}\mu_L = \frac{1}{2}\iota^*P$$

### Theorem (L.-Pacini 2013)

 $(M,\omega)$  symplectic, J compatible,  $\iota: L^n \hookrightarrow M^{2n}$  totally real

- (Streets–Tian 2011) symplectic curvature flow  $\partial_t \omega_t = -\frac{1}{2}P_t$
- coupled Maslov flow  $\partial_t \iota_t = -J\mu_L(\iota_t)$

$$\Rightarrow \iota_t : L \hookrightarrow (M, \omega_t, J_t)$$
 satisfies  $\iota_t^* \omega_t = \iota^* \omega$  for all t

#### Corollary

Maslov flow plus symplectic curvature flow preserves Lagrangians

 $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}, s > 0 \right\}, \Gamma = G \cap GL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ 

•  $M = \Gamma \setminus G$ ,  $\omega = \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}z + \mathrm{d}s \wedge \mathrm{d}y \rightsquigarrow (M^4, \omega)$  symplectic

• 
$$b_1(M) = 3 \Rightarrow M$$
 not Kähler

- (Pook 2012) symplectic curvature flow exists for all t with  $\omega_t = \omega$  but  $g_t$  non-constant and does not converge
- $\rightsquigarrow$  (after rescaling) collapses to flat  $T^2$

$$L = T^2$$

- $\{\gamma \in G : x = y = 0\} \rightsquigarrow \iota : L \hookrightarrow (M, \omega)$  Lagrangian
- Maslov form  $\mu_L = 0 \rightsquigarrow \iota_t = \iota$  Lagrangian for all t
- $L_t$  collapses to a point as  $t \to \infty$

Motivation O	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume ●○	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook O
<i>J</i> -volume				

 $\iota: L^n \hookrightarrow (M^{2n}, \omega, J)$  totally real  $\rightsquigarrow \Omega_L$  canonical section of  $K_M|_L$ 

#### Definition

• *J-volume form:* 
$$vol_L^J = \iota^* \Omega_L$$

• J-volume functional:  $\operatorname{Vol}^J = \int_L \operatorname{vol}_L^J$ 

### Theorem (L.–Pacini 2013)

 $(M, \omega, J)$  Kähler  $\Rightarrow$  Maslov flow is negative gradient flow of Vol<sup>J</sup>

• Critical points:  $\mu_L = 0 \leftrightarrow \text{stationary for Vol}^J$ 

*M* Calabi–Yau,  $\Omega$  holomorphic volume form  $\Rightarrow \iota^* \operatorname{Re} \Omega \leq \operatorname{vol}_L^J$ 

- "calibrated"  $\iota^* \operatorname{Re} \Omega = \operatorname{vol}_L^J$ : "special totally real"
- special totally real  $\Rightarrow$  homologically *J*-volume-minimizing

Motivation O	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume ○●	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook 0
Convexity				

 $\mathcal{T}$  totally real immersions of L modulo Diff(L)

- $\bullet\ \exists$  canonical connection on  $\mathcal{T}\rightsquigarrow$  geodesics
- JX ∈ T<sub>ι(L)</sub>T: geodesic given by "J-holomorphic thickening" of integral curves of X

### Theorem (L.–Pacini 2014)

*M* Kähler Ric  $\leq 0 \Rightarrow \text{Vol}^J$  convex along geodesics

M Kähler–Einstein Ric < 0

- Maslov flow = Vol<sup>J</sup> gradient flow
- Critical points = minimal Lagrangians
- Convexity  $\Rightarrow$  minimal Lagrangians stable (Oh 1990)
- (Joyce 2014) Are minimal Lagrangians in [L] unique?

Motivation	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook
O		00	●○○	O
Coassociati	ves			

$$(M^7, arphi)$$
 7-manifold with  $G_2$  structure,  $C^4$  oriented

#### Definition

$$\iota: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ coassociative} \Leftrightarrow \iota^* *_{\varphi} \varphi = \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{C}} \Leftrightarrow \iota^* \varphi = 0$$

- $d\varphi = 0 \Rightarrow$  no obstructions to local existence of coassociatives
- $\rightsquigarrow$  is there a "best" way to deform  $\varphi$  with  $d\varphi = 0$ ?

#### Lemma

- $\iota: C \hookrightarrow M$  coassociative,  $\mathrm{d} \varphi = 0$ 
  - $H = -d*_{\varphi}\varphi(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, .)$  where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  orthonormal frame on C
  - $H \lrcorner \varphi = -\pi_+ \iota^* \mathrm{d}_{\varphi}^* \varphi$  where  $\pi_+ : \Omega^2(\mathcal{C}) \to \Omega^2_+(\mathcal{C})$

Motivation O	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume 00	$G_2$ coupled flow $\circ \bullet \circ$	Outlook O
G <sub>2</sub> coupled	flow			

Key facts

• 
$$\mathcal{L}_H \varphi = \mathrm{d}(H \lrcorner \varphi) = -\iota^* \mathrm{dd}_{\varphi}^* \varphi = -\iota^* \Delta_{\varphi} \varphi$$

• compact coassociative  $\Rightarrow$  moduli space of dimension  $b_+^2$ 

#### Theorem (L.–Pacini 2014)

 $(M^7, \varphi) d\varphi = 0, \iota : C \hookrightarrow M$  compact coassociative

- (Bryant 1992) Laplacian flow  $\partial_t \varphi_t = \Delta_{\varphi_t} \varphi_t$
- coupled mean curvature flow  $\partial_t \iota_t = H(\iota_t)$
- $\Rightarrow \iota_t : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \varphi_t)$  coassociative for all t

### Work in progress

- Can we extend this flow to "weak coassociatives"?
- Is this extension still the gradient flow of a functional?

 Motivation
 Symplectic coupled flow
 J-volume
 G2 coupled flow
 Outlook

 0
 000
 00
 00
 00
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0<

# Fernández closed G<sub>2</sub>-solvmanifold

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_2 & x_4 & x_6 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & x_5 & x_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \ \Gamma = G \cap \mathsf{GL}(5, \mathbb{Z})$$

• 
$$M = \Gamma \backslash G \rightsquigarrow (M^7, \varphi)$$
 with  $\mathrm{d}\varphi = 0$ 

•  $b_1(M) = 5 \Rightarrow$  no torsion-free G<sub>2</sub> structure

- (Bryant 1992) Laplacian flow exists for all *t* but does not converge
- $\rightsquigarrow$  (after rescaling) collapses to flat  $T^3$

 $C = T^4$ 

•  $\{\gamma \in G : x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\} \rightsquigarrow \iota : C \hookrightarrow (M, \varphi)$  coassociative

•  $H = 0 \rightsquigarrow \iota_t = \iota$  coassociative for all t

•  $C_t$  collapses to a point as  $t \to \infty$ 

Motivation 0	Symplectic coupled flow	J-volume 00	G <sub>2</sub> coupled flow	Outlook ●
Future d	irections			

 $(M, \alpha)$  contact,  $\iota : L^n \hookrightarrow M^{2n+1}$  Legendrian  $\iota^* \alpha = 0$ 

- (cf. Smoczyk 2003) M Sasakian ⇒ Sasaki-Ricci flow plus "projected" mean curvature flow preserves Legendrians
- n = 1: "projected" analogous to total length-preserving
- Is there a contact analogue of the symplectic coupled flow?
- $\rightsquigarrow$  "best" flow of contact structures?

 $(M^7, \varphi)$  7-manifold with G<sub>2</sub> structure, A connection

- A G<sub>2</sub> instanton  $\Leftrightarrow$   $F(A) \land \varphi = -*F(A) \Leftrightarrow F(A) \land *\varphi = 0$
- $d^* \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow$  no obstructions to local existence of G<sub>2</sub> instantons
- Is there an instanton analogue of coassociative coupled flow?
- $\rightsquigarrow$  "best" flow of  $\varphi$  with  $d^*\varphi = 0$ ?